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## Regional Profile

## FOUR CORNERS COLORADO

The central work of the State Demography Office is the research and production of population data and information and of the forces (fertility, mortality, migration) that lead to population change. Data and information about the population and the factors that lead to population change are critical for program and local area planning. This profile presents data on the economy and the population for the Four Corners region of Colorado.
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 THE STATE OF COLORADO

Colorado's population as of July 2012 was $5,188,683$, an increase of 70,157 or $1.37 \%$ since 2011. Net migration was estimated to be 38,187 with 28,705 from net domestic migration and 9,482 from net international migration. Natural increase (births minus deaths) was estimated to be 31,970 . Colorado's growth rate is very close to what it was between 2010 and 2011. Colorado was 7th fastest in percentage change behind North Dakota, District of Columbia, Texas, Wyoming, Utah, and Nevada. Colorado was 9th fastest in the U.S. for absolute change and is $22 n$ in the U.S. for total population.

Colorado's 2012 county population ranges from 646,160 in El Paso to 691 in San Juan County. There is great diversity in this growth: 26 or $40 \%$ of Colorado's counties have fewer than 10,000 people, 11 or $17 \%$ of the counties had greater than 100,000 residents. Between 2011 and 201234 counties gained population and thirty counties lost population. The counties experiencing decline are diverse ranging from mountain counties still struggling with the recession to the eastern plains to the San Luis Valley. The population declines were not large numbers, however, in the small counties, a loss of 500 people can be a large share of its total population
"In strategic partnership with local communities, the Department of Local Affairs produces the most authoritative demographic and economic data for Colorado's regions and counties." - Reeves Brown, Executive Director

## COLORADO QUICK FACTS

5,188,683 - Colorado population July 2012.

139,000 - Colorado population change 2010-2012.
$1.4 \%$ - Colorado's population growth rate vs. US .9\%

7th - Colorado's rank in US for growth rate 2011-12

9th - Colorado's rank in US for absolute growth 2011-12.
1.9\% - The North Front Range (Larimer, Weld) fastest growing region in state.

30 - The number of Colorado counties losing population 2011-12

## EXPECTATIONS

Colorado's population is forecast to increase from $5,188,683$ in 2012 to 5.9 million in 2020 and 6.9 million by 2030. This is an average annual growth rate of $1.6 \%$ followed by $1.4 \%$. The forecasted growth rates are slightly slower than the previous decade yet faster than the US rate of $.9 \%$. The largest share of the population ( $82.4 \%$ ) will continue to be along the Front Range with a small growing share in the Western Slope, growing from $11 \%$ to $12 \%$ between 2010 and 2020.

Over the next decade (2010 - 2020) the Colorado population will also change significantly due to aging baby boomers (born 1946 to 1964), many of whom moved to the state during the energy boom of the 1970's. As this generation ages Colorado's population over the age of 65 , a historically small portion of the population, will increase rapidly. Over the ten years, the 550,000 people over 65 will expand to 892,000 - an increase of $60 \%$.

The forecast for job growth, a significant factor for population growth, is expected to improve in 2014 and 2015 after returning to peak employment in 2013. Between 2010 and 2015 total jobs (including wage and salary and proprietors) are forecast to increase by 350,000 , gaining back the 130,000 lost between 2008 and 2010. An additional gain of 315,000 jobs is expected between 2015 and 2020. Many of these jobs are forecast to be driven from growth by retiree spending, approximately $10 \%$. Another bright spot is the tourism industry which has also been recovering nationally. Jobs in extractive industries, health services, and business services are also forecast to experience stronger increases.

JOBS
Number of Jobs by Sector, 2012


Source: State Demography Office

Region 9 had approximately 50,436 jobs in 2012, a figure that includes the selfemployed as well as wage earners. This figure exceeds the 2011 total by 358 jobs (o.7\%). Despite these gains, total jobs remain at only $93.2 \%$ of the peak employment of 54,121 jobs in 2007. The largest job gains between 2011 and 2012 were in Health Services (295), Accommodation and food ( 123 jobs), and Agriculture (117). The largest declines over the same period were in Government $(-169)$ Construction (-85), and Administrative and Waste Services (-48).

The largest sources of jobs are from Government (including local government) 19\% (9,562), Retail Trade $11.4 \%(5,732)$, Health Services $11 \%(5,498)$ and Accommodations and Food Services $10 \%(5,000)$.
Percentage Change in Jobs by County, 2011 to 2012


Source: State Demography Office

JOB CHANGE BY SECTOR,
' 11 - ' 12
Total Jobs

$$
358 \text { (0.7\%) }
$$

Accom m odation and food

$$
123 \text { (2.5\%) }
$$

Admin and waste

$$
-48(-2.7 \%)
$$

Agriculture

$$
117 \text { (6.9\%) }
$$

Arts

$$
40 \text { (2.8\%) }
$$

Construction

$$
-85(-1.9 \%)
$$

Education

$$
4 \text { (o.6\%) }
$$

Finance activities

$$
62 \text { (4.4\%) }
$$

Government $-169(-1.7 \%)$
Health Services 295 (5.7\%)
Inform ation $-30(-4.5 \%)$
Managem ent of com panies and enterprise

9 ( $10.7 \%$ )
Manufacturing -2 1 ( $-1.7 \%$ )

Mining

$$
-4(-0.4 \%)
$$

Other services, except public administration $-30(-1 \%)$

Professional and business services 97 (3.4\%)

Real estate 30 ( $1.5 \%$ )
Retail Trade
-3 (-0.1\%)
Transportation and warehousing

$$
-11(-1.1 \%)
$$

Utilities
-2 $2(-8 \%)$
Wholesale trade 4 ( $0.4 \%$ )

## PER CAPITA PERSONAL

 INCOME (2011)| Archuleta | $\$ 31,536$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Dolores | $\$ 29,250$ |
| La Plata | $\$ 43,453$ |
| Montezuma | $\$ 34,015$ |
| San Juan | $\$ 29,854$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Colorado | $\$ 44,179$ |
| US | $\$ 42,298$ |

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Components of Income (2011)

## INCOME

Income is an important measure of economic health and is widely reported. It cannot simply be viewed by itself; equally important are the demographic characteristics that impact income levels.

Real (inflation adjusted) per capita personal income in Region 9 increased an average


Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Bureau of Labor Statistics (CPI)
of $1.9 \%$ annually compared to the State, which showed a more robust annual average growth of $2.2 \%$. Real per capita personal income growth has been $1.4 \%$ from 2009 to 2011, which is also less than the rate of growth of the state over the same period at $7 \%$.

Residents of Region 9 receive about $61 \%$ of their income from earnings, which is lower than the state average of $70 \%$. Investment income represents about $23 \%$ of the income in the region, above the state average of $17 \%$. Region 9 receives a larger share of government transfers (government payments to individuals) at $16 \%$ compared to the state at $13 \%$. Region 9 has a slightly larger share of retirees than the state as a whole, which explains this difference.

Income from transfers grew faster than other portions of income since 2001, and it did so quickly-an average of $8.4 \%$ annually over the past ten years. Payments Medicare and Medicaid account for the largest growth in Transfer Payments.


Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

| REGION 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ECONOMIC BASE, 2012 |  |
| Traditional | 4,856 |
| Mining | 1,188 |
| Manufacturing | 578 |
| Government | 3,090 |
| Agriculture | 2,631 |
| Ag inputs | 574 |
| Ag production | 1,371 |
| Processing - trade, transport | 277 |
| Processing - food, bev | 410 |
| Regional and national services$\mathbf{5 , 9 0 7}$ |  |
| Prof. bu siness sves | 1,272 |
| Inform ation | 228 |
| Reg'l constr. \& utils | 658 |
| Edu \& health svcs | 3,292 |
| Finance | 153 |
| Trade \& transport | 303 |
| Tourism | 8,536 |
| Accom m odations | 4,389 |
| Second homes | 2,031 |
| Tourism retail | 1,708 |
| Tourism transport | 409 |
| Households | 8,716 |
| Commuting | 1,125 |
| Retirees | 4,445 |
| Transfers (<65) | 1,445 |
| Investments (<65) | 1,701 |
| Total Direct Basic | 30,652 |
| Indirect Basic | 5,377 |
| Non-Basic | $\mathbf{1 4 , 3 9 8}$ |
| Total Jobs | 50,427 |
| Spin offs per base job | 0.65 |
| Overall multiplier | 1.65 |

## BASE ANALYSIS

Households in Region 9 especially retirees - bring in significant amounts of money and support nearly 8,700 jobs. This is not to diminish the importance of tourism it remains the region's second largest base industry and supports nearly 8,500 jobs.

Regional and National Services (like education and health services) in the region are also an important driver, generating nearly 5,900 jobs. Government employment adds another 3,100
jobs to the base industry mix.

While income and employment from tourism may be volatile and fluctuate with weather conditions and consumer wealth, employment and income from households, government, and education and health services tend to be relatively stable. This does not imply these sectors are entirely insulated from an economic downturn as governments curtail expenditures and attempt to reform transfer payments.

Base Industries as Percentage of Direct Basic Jobs

$■$ Traditional $■$ Agriculture $■$ Reg'l Nat'l Svcs $■$ Tourism $■$ Households

Source: State Demography Office

## HOUSEHOLD BASIC



Retirees comprise 51\% of household basic jobs. This segment of the population is expected to increase an average of 7.3\% annually from 2010-2020.

## LOOKING TO THE FUTURE

The outlook for direct basic job growth in Region 9 from 2010-2015 is forecast to be faster than the previous 5 years increasing by around $2.8 \%$ per year. Much of the growth will be in regaining jobs lost since 2008.

Job growth between 2010 and 2015 is forecast across most base industries with the strongest growth in Household Basic - primarily related to retiree spending - and Tourism. In growth rate terms, Regional and National Services will be the fastest over the period at $3.2 \%$.

Average Annual Job Creation by Direct Basic Sector


Source: State Demography Office

## From 2010 to 2015 the region's economy should add approximately 5,800 new jobs, averaging $2.2 \%$ annual growth.

## ECONOMIC GROWTH

Region 9 Forecast of Direct Basic and Total Jobs


[^0]Not all base industries support the same number of local resident service positions. Retiree generated jobs tend to be in service industries with lower income. The secondary jobs created from their spending are smaller. However, over time in Region 9, the ratio of secondary jobs to direct basic jobs will remain close to the same given large increases in Regional and Na tional Services base jobs.

## POPULATION

Region 9 Population Estimates by County


Source: State Demography Office

The population of the region in 2012 was 92,741 . This represents an increase of $.5 \%$ per year since 2010. Since 2010 the growth has been slow and disparate throughout the region. Dolores, San Juan and Montezuma have recorded declines and Archuleta and La Plata Counties have added population with the largest share in La Plata of 1,065 people. The recession hit tourism dependent regions especially hard and Region 9's employment in 2012 was still below the peak employment of 2008.

Colorado Population by County, 2012



## POPULATION CHANGE BY RACE AND ETHNICITY

From 2000 to 2010 the Asian population in Colorado grew faster than any other race or ethnic group, increasing 45\% to comprise $2.8 \%$ of the state population. The Hispanic population, Colorado's second largest racial/ethnic group increased $41 \%$ to comprise $20 \%$ of the state population. Black non-Hispanics increased $19 \%$ (3.7\% of total), White non-Hispanics increased $9.9 \%$ ( $70 \%$ of total) and all other non-White non-Hispanic race/ethnic groups decreased 11\%.

| REGION 9 POPULATION BY ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | White nonHispanic | Hispanic | Other, nonWhite |
| Archuleta | 12,084 | 9,446 | 2,148 | 490 |
| Dolores | 2,064 | 1,877 | 82 | 105 |
| La Plata | 51,334 | 41,245 | 6,056 | 4,033 |
| Montezuma | 25,535 | 19,168 | 2,818 | 3,549 |
| San Juan | 699 | 595 | 84 | 20 |
| Source: US Census Bureau |  |  |  |  |

## AGE, RACE, AND ETHNICITY

Race, Ethnicity by Age Cohort


Population Change by Race, Ethnicity, 'oo to ' 10


Source: US Census Bureau

Colorado's population is becoming more diverse, especially at the younger ages. The Hispanic and Other non -White population under 18 are $42 \%$ of the population and are $15 \%$ of the population over 65 . Region 9 is less diverse by race and age than the state, although there remains a significant difference between the under 18 and over 65 age group. The Hispanic and other nonWhite population under 18 are $32 \%$ of the population compared to $11 \%$ of the population over 65 .

By age, $30 \%$ of the Region's population was between the ages of 45 and 64 in 2010, followed by $24 \%$ of the population between the ages of 25 and 44. In 2010, $21 \%$ of the population was less than 18 and $14 \%$ were older than 65 .

## HOUSEHOLDS

Population by group, 2010
Of the region's 91,805 people, 2,081 live in group quarters - mostly nursing homes, the remaining 89,724 in households. The region averages 2.35 persons per household (occupied housing units) with Montezuma County having a higher ratio (of 2.36) relative to other counties in the region. The region's $24.94 \%$ overall vacancy rate includes a significant percentage of houses used for seasonal recreational purposes. Hinsdale County has the highest vacancy rate ( $54.5 \%$ ) in the region.


Source: US Census Bureau

Persons per household, 2000 to 2010


Source: US Census Bureau

## HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE

The household population can be split in to several different types. This is especially useful in determining housing needs and planning communities. Region 9 has a larger portion of family households than the state average except San Juan County and La Plata County. A lower portion of these households have children than the state average.
Non-family households, especially single person nonfamily households, grew faster than the state average in San Juan County and La Plata County. Region 9 has a larger concentration of households composed of a single person over age 65 than the state.

## Households by Type (2011)



## POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS

## HOUSING AND INCOME

## Percent of Households spending greater than 30 percent on housing:

## Colorado

Renter: 48.2\% (+/-0.4)
Owner: 36.3 (+/-0.3)

## Archuleta

Renter: $42.9 \%$ (+/-16.0)
Owner: 39.1\% (+/-6.5)

## Dolores

Renter: 24.1\% (+/-16.0)
Owner: $45.7 \%(+/-13.5)$

## La Plata

Renter: $43.5 \%(+/-4.7)$
Owner: $39.6 \%(+/-3)$

## Montezuma

Renter: $41.7 \%$ (+/-6.6)
Owner: $37.9 \%(+/-5)$

## San Juan

Renter: 64.6\% (+/-19.4)
Owner: $44.2 \%(+/-21)$

Source: 2011 Census ACS 5 yr. smpl.

## POVERTY

The percentage of the total population in 2011 in poverty in Region 9 is higher than the state average of $12.5 \%$. The percentage of children in poverty in Region 6 is also higher than the state average of $16.6 \%$.

The US Department of Health and Human Services annually sets the income thresholds by family size. The Census Bureau then determines the number of people and children (and other characteristics) above and below that income threshold. The income threshold for a family of four (in this case age does not matter) with two minors, for example, was $\$ 22,350$ in 2011.

Poverty Rates, 2007-2011


Percentage of Population with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher


The share of the population with a bachelor's degree or higher in Region 9 is fairly consistent with the exception of Dolores County. Archuleta and La Plata Counties have the highest levels. The average share in Region 9 (28.5\%) is lower than the state average of $36.3 \%$. On average for the region, $27 \%$ of the population have only high school degrees and another $35.2 \%$ have some college or an associate's degree.

[^1]
## POPULATION AND AGING

The median age of the region on July 1, 2010 was 41.1 as compared to the state's median age of 36.1.

This is mainly due to somewhat larger proportions of the population in older age groups - 46.1 percent over 45 for the region versus 37.6 percent for the state.

The median age of the region is expected to decline somewhat to 39.8 by 2030 with an increased proportion of working age adults while that of the state's is expected to rise to 38.1 with the aging of the baby boomers.

Residents by Age, 2010


Source: State Demography Office

From 2010 to 2020, the population over the age of 65 will grow an average of 5.7 percent annually, faster than the state average of 5.0 percent.

## FUTURE POPULATION CHANGE

The region is expected to grow at 2.6 percent per year to 2025 , then slow somewhat to 1.9 percent per year for the following ten years. Some of this middle-term growth is related to increases in tourism and energy-related developments. The region will also see significant growth in the number of retirees as its own baby-boomers retire and as others in the West are attracted to the regions many amenities and its rural life style.

Total Population


Population Change by Age Group


## LABOR MARKET HIGHLIGHTS

## Integrating the Economic and Population Forecasts by way of Analysis <br> of the Labor Market

The separate forecasts of the jobs and the population of a county are integrated through an analysis of the labor market. The analysis reviews whether the population forecast in the demographic model and the workforce they are expected to supply will be sufficient to meet the demands of the economy created through the jobs forecast. If not, the migration assumption in the demographic model is adjusted so that the jobs supplied by the population are more in line with the jobs forecast.


The analysis begins with the labor force participation rates being applied to the population forecasts of the noninstitutional population over age 16 by age and gender to produce a forecast of the labor force for the projected population. The forecasted numbers of unemployed persons are then subtracted from the labor force and a forecast of the number of employed

Job and Population Growth


Source: State Demography Office
Retirees generate fewer jobs than traditional base industries, so retiree growth can cause the population as a whole to increase more quickly than jobs. This in part explains the large gap between job and population growth through 2025.
persons results. This number combined with the number of second and third jobs held by multiple job holders yields the total number of jobs held by residents. The net number of commuters is then added or subtracted resulting in the number of total local jobs as derived from the population. This number is then reconciled with the number of total jobs as it is derived from a forecast of the base jobs (exports) within a county. A multiplier is applied to the forecasted number of base jobs to derive the resulting number of local resident service jobs that are then added to the forecast of base jobs to determine the final number of total local jobs. This analysis is essential to establishing an appropriate relationship between the forecasted number of jobs and the forecast of the population within a county.

## LABOR FORECASTS

## FORECASTING WORKSHEETS

The forecasting worksheets attempt to integrate and/or reconcile the economic forecast of total jobs with the population forecast. The rates of growth of these two key variables can differ somewhat because of changes in the labor market, i.e., labor force participation rates, unemployment rates, multiple job holding, and/or commuting. In addition, macroeconomic conditions such as business cycle fluctuations and faster or slower periods of U.S. and Colorado economic growth can affect overall job growth in a region. For example, between 2010 and 2015 job growth in the region is expected to be $2.3 \%$ per year while population growth will be $1.9 \%$. This differential is in part attributable to the region experiencing a re-cession-induced reduction in job growth from 2005-2010, with the job total reaching a low point in 2010. By starting from a low base, job growth will be more robust in percentage terms out to 2015. This differential will reverse after 2015, with job growth trailing population growth. This faster growth in population relative to jobs is the result of an increase in the proportion of the population over the age of 65 and expected slower medium and long-run rates of U.S. and Colorado economic growth.

|  | 2010 | 2015 | 2025 | 2035 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TOTAL DIRECT BASIC JOBS |  |  |  |  |
| Total Jobs / Total Direct Basic Jobs | 29,386 | 33,713 | 42,150 | 48,569 |
| TOTAL JOBS | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
|  | 49,916 | 55,965 | 71,512 | 83,589 |
| Average Annual Percent Change |  |  |  |  |
|  | $0.9 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| *Statistical Discrepancy |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,710 | 1,479 | 2,423 | $-1,176$ |
| JOBS HELD (In Area by Res. \& Non-Res) | 50,585 | 55,055 | 68,612 | 83,785 |
| Average Annual Percent Change | $0.7 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| $\quad$ + Com muters (+ = IN) | $-2,629$ | $-1,050$ | -22 | 461 |
| = JOBS HELD BY RESIDENTS | 50,585 | 55,055 | 68,612 | 83,785 |
| + 2 nd \& 3rd Jobs Held by Res. | 3,451 | 3,666 | 4,540 | 5,525 |
| = Employ ed Persons (Residents) | 47,134 | 51,389 | 64,072 | 78,260 |
| - Unemploy ed Persons | 4,250 | 3,261 | 3,513 | 4,061 |
| Unemploy ment Rate | $8.3 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| LABOR FORCE (RESIDENTS) | 51,384 | 54,650 | 67,584 | 82,321 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor Force Participation Rate | $69.1 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ |
| POPULATION - CENSUS BASED |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Percent Change | 1,802 | 100,977 | 130,882 | 159,447 |

## LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION

Changes in the overall or total labor force participation rate(s) (LFPR) are really a function of changes in LFPR by age and gender and changes in the relative proportions in each. In general, LFPR for each age-gender group are expected to rise slightly to 2025 . Those of women - especially those in upper age groups - are expected to increase more substantially, as the result of the aging female labor force that had greater participation than previous generations of women. Changes in the overall or total LFPR of a region, if it's declining, are due to increases in the number of people in younger or older age groups where participation rates are expected to remain relatively low. Those of women, especially those in upper age groups, are expected to increase more substantially as women who historically had high labor force participation

Labor Force Participation Rates by Age Group
rates
age.


## COMMUTING

Commuter flows are significant in reconciling a region's jobs with its population. A region could have a completely different population during business hours compared to night time, and often different regions are inextricably connected by these flows.

The US Census Bureau, in cooperation with several other federal agencies, compiles information that reconciles place of residence with place of employment.

The specific employment numbers differ from those used in the forecasting worksheets because both the labor and population data come from different sources than those used by the State Demography Office. This resource still provides valuable information about commuter flows.

Each map presented shows the number of in-commuters, the number of residents who live and are employed in the specified county, and the number of out-residents. Additionally, a table next to each map shows origin and destination counties of commuters.

The most recent year of data available at the time of this publication was 2010. All jobs are primary jobs-second job holders are excluded from commuting numbers.

## ARCHULETA COUNTY

Archuleta County Commuter Flows


Source: Census LEHD


COMMUTING (2010 DATA)

Top 5 In-Commuter Origins
La Plata County, CO
Rio Grande County, CO 57
Montezuma County, CO
Arapahoe County, CO
Jefferson County, CO

Top 5 Out-Commuter Destinations
La Plata County, CO
San Juan County, NM
Mesa County, CO
Denver County, CO
Montezuma County, CO

## COMMUTING-DOLORES COUNTY

Dolores County Commuter Flows


Source: Census LEHD

## COMMUTING-LA PLATA COUNTY

La Plata County Commuter Flows


## COMMUTING (2010 DATA)

## Top 5 In-Commuter Origins

Montezuma County, CO
San Miguel County, CO La Plata County, CO
San Juan County, NM
San Juan County, UT

Top 5 Out-Commuter Destinations
Montezuma County, CO
San Juan County, UT
San Juan County, NM
San Miguel County, CO
La Plata County, CO

## COMMUTING-MONTEZUMA COUNTY

Montezuma County Commuter Flows


Source: Census LEHD

## COMMUTING-SAN JUAN COUNTY

San Juan County Commuter Flows


Source: Census LEHD

## COMMUTING (2010 DATA)

| Top 5 In-Commuter Origins | 494 |
| :--- | ---: |
| San Juan County, NM | 470 |
| La Plata County, CO | 161 |
| Mesa County, CO | 140 |
| Montrose County, CO | 100 |
| Dolores County, CO |  |
|  |  |
| Top 5 Out-Commuter Destinations | 760 |
| La Plata County, CO | 446 |
| San Juan County, NM | 303 |
| Mesa County, CO | 123 |
| Denver County, CO | 123 |
| San Juan County, UT |  |

Top 5 In-Commuter Origins
La Plata County, CO
Montezuma County, CO
Montrose County, CO
Archuleta County, CO
Rio Arriba County, NM

Top 5 Out-Commuter Destinations
La Plata County, CO
San Juan County, NM
Mesa County, CO
Montezuma County, CO
Denver County, CO

9
6
2
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## STATE DEMOGRAPHY OFFICE

The State Demography Office is the primary state agency for population and demographic information. Its data are used by state agencies to forecast demand for facilities and services. These data are also used by local governments and nonprofit organizations in the state to anticipate growth or decline and to plan and develop programs and community resources. The office's location in the Department of Local Affairs insures that its data and information is developed in ways that account for local input and needs. The office makes the data publicly available on their website, answers requests for economic and demographic data and provides training workshops on accessing and using the data.

State Demography Office 1313 Sherman St., Ste 521 Denver, CO 80203
http://colorado.gov/demography
Phone: 303-864-7720
E-mail: dlg.helpdesk@state.co.us


## COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL AFFAIRS

For many communities throughout Colorado, the Department of Local Affairs is the "face of state government" -that initial and primary point of contact where local communities work in partnership with the state. Our department's mission statement, "Strengthening Colorado Communities," exemplifies the level of responsiveness and attentiveness that lies at the heart of our services.

Through financial and technical assistance, emergency management services, property tax administration and programs addressing affordable housing and homelessness, our department works in cooperation with local communities. It is through that work where we learn firsthand how to build on the strengths, unique qualities and priorities of Colorado.

## Strengthening Colorado Communities

## DEMOGRAPHY STAFF

## Elizabeth Garner, State Demographer

Media contact, demographic and economic overviews

## Cindy DeGroen, Projections Demographer

Population forecasts, household and labor force forecasts

## Rob Kemp, Estimates Demographer

Population estimates, demographic methods

## Grant Nülle, Economist

Economic Data \& Analysis, Economic \& Demographic Relationships

## Barbara Musick, Marketing and Data Manager

Census and ACS data, data requests, user training, product development, demography webmaster

## Daniel Trone, GIS Developer

Geographic information systems design, analysis, development, mapping support, GIS technical support

| Topic | Website | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Estimated Jobs | http://www.colorado.gov/cs/Satellite? <br> c=Page\&childpagename=DOLA-Main\% <br> 2FCBONLay- <br> out\&cid=1251593348674\&pagename=CBONWrapper | State Demography Office |
| Personal Income | http://www.bea.gov/iTable/iTable.cfm? <br> ReqID=70\&step=18isuri=1\&acrdn=5 | Bureau of Economic Analy- <br> sis |
| Base Analysis | https://dola.colorado.gov/demog webapps/ <br> eba parameters.jsf | State Demography Office |
| Job Forecasts | http://www.colorado.gov/cs/Satellite/DOLA-Main/ <br> CBON/1251593349151 | State Demography Office |
| Population Estimates | http://www.colorado.gov/cs/Satellite/DOLA-Main/ <br> CBON/1251593300013 | State Demography Office |
| Population by Race | http://dola.colorado.gov/dlg/demog/2010censusdata.html | Census 2010 and Census <br> 2ooo |
| Households | http://dola.colorado.gov/dlg/demog/2010censusdata.html | Census 2010 |
| Group Quarters | http://dola.colorado.gov/dlg/demog/2010censusdata.html | Census 2010 |
| Poverty | http://www.colorado.gov/cs/Satellite? <br> c=Page\&childpagename=DOLA-Main\% | American Community Sur- <br> vey, Census Bureau <br> 2FCBONLLy- <br> out\&cid=1251593751983\&pagename=CBONWrapper |


[^0]:    Source: State Demography Office

[^1]:    Source: 2011 Census American Community Survey, 5 year sample

